## IMAGING CORE WORKSHOP: DEMYSTIFYING CLINICAL BIOMECHANICS

## WHEN: WED, JAN 17th, 9:00-11:00am

WHERE: UCSF Orthopaedic Institute, 1500 Owens, Suite 110


Join the CCMBM and the Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Science for an overview of clinical biomechanics best practices featuring experts from the UCSF Human Performance Center. Presenters will discuss:

- State-of-the-art in motion capture and exercise physiology
- How to integrate these methods to elevate your MSK research

This workshop will include demonstrations and example data, with dedicated time for Q\&A. Open to and appropriate for all MSK researchers no matter their level of expertise.

Presented by:
Anthony Luke, MD, MPH
Director, UCSF Human Performance Center
Richard Souza, PT, PhD
Director of Research, UCSF Human Performance Center
Brooke Schultz, MS, ACE-CPT
Biomechanist \& Lab Manager, UCSF Human Performance Center
Mathias Sorensen, MS, ACE-CPT
Exercise Physiologist, UCSF Human Performance Center

RSVP TO
ATTEND!

bit.ly/hpcimaging
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| UCSF Orthopaedic Institute |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Human Performance Center |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Patient Information |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Name | Age | Height | Weight | Sex |  |  |  |
| Test Protocol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Test degree | Maximal | Exercise Device | Bike |  |  |  |  |
| Test Environment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insp. temp. | 23 deg C | Baro. pressure | 764 mmHg | Insp. humidity | 17\% |  |  |
| Exp. flow temp. | Mean of room ten | p. and 37.0 deg C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Insp. 02 | 20.94000053\% |  | Insp. CO2 | 0.029999999\% |  |  |  |
| Selected Flowmeter 0-800 Lpm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STPD to BTPS | 1.203631282 | O2 Gain | 0.000122636 | CO2-NL gain | $9.07884 \mathrm{E}-05$ |  |  |
| Base Values for Sampling |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base 02 | 20.94000053\% | Base CO2 | 0.029999999\% | Measured O 2 | 20.89337158\% | Measured CO2 | 0.059719291\% |
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## Performance Evaluation

## Results

## Name: <br> Date:

| Age: | Test Type: | VO2 Max |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sex: | Fasted: | n/a |
| Height: | Mode: | Bike |
| Weight: | Protocol: | Ramp Test (20wpm) |

Thank you for using UCSF Human Performance Center. Performance testing is a tool to help you optimize your training and enhance performance. By analyzing the exchange of respiratory gases (O2 and CO2) breath-by-breath, measuring blood lactate levels, and identifying other key variables, we can estimate your current fitness level as well as recommend areas where you may be able to improve performance in your sport. This report provides you with a general overview of your evaluation results, maximal values, comparative norms, and suggested training zones. Each evaluation performed will include a table and/or graph containing a brief overview of your results along with notes regarding your performance goals. Included in the packet contains your suggested training zones as well as samples of exercise routines that may improve areas of weakness.

If you have any questions about your current report, or interest in future testing, please do not hesitate to give us a call at (415) 514-6077 or reach out to our Exercise Physiologist, Mathias Sorensen, at mathias.sorensen@ucsf.edu
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## Results: Overview

| Maximum Ventilatory Values |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VO2 Max (Absolute): | $4.28 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ | VO2 Max (Relative): | $44.42 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| Minute Ventilation (VE): | $103 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$ | Respiratory Rate: | $37 \mathrm{br} / \mathrm{min}$ |
| VO2 Max Classification (norm): | VO2 Max Ranking: | percentile |  |

What is VO2max and what does it tell me?
VO2max refers to the maximum capacity the body has to uptake oxygen; it is regarded as the best measurement of maximal aerobic capacity. Consider it the size of our engine. As exercise intensity increases, our body's consumption of oxygen increases linearly until a plateau is reached (the VO2max). This is measured as both absolute (Liters 02 per minute) and relative (mililiters 02 per kilogram body weight per min). Relative VO2 max is a direct indication of how efficient your body is at both uptake and utilization of oxygen for exercise.


Since our ability to exercise (aerobically) is limited by our ability to transport oxygen to the muscles, a high VO2max is one particular indicator of athletic potential. Most elite athletes will have VO2max values over $60 \mathrm{ml} / \mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{min}$ ! However, this number alone is not a guarantee of elite performance, as there are other factors such as Blood Lactate concentration that affect peak performance. As such, high VO2max may indicate an athlete's potential for superior aerobic endurance, but does not necessarily determine the winner of a race.

Note: A lower VO2 max is one of the greatest predictors of early all-cause mortality related to cardiovascular, metabolic, or renal disease. Increasing VO2 max will almost always improve overall health outside of fitness and sports performance.
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## Results: VO2 Normative Values

| Men: Age Groups \& V02 Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Percentile | Classification | 20-29 | $30-39$ | $40-49$ | $50-59$ | $60-69$ |
| 95th + | Superior | $66+$ | $59+$ | $56+$ | $51+$ | $43+$ |
| 80th -95 th | Excellent | $57-65$ | $51-58$ | $46-55$ | $41-50$ | $36-42$ |
| 60th - 80th | Good | $50-56$ | $45-50$ | $40-45$ | $35-40$ | $30-35$ |
| 40th - 60th | Fair | $45-49$ | $40-43$ | $35-39$ | $31-34$ | $26-29$ |
| 20th - 40th | Poor | $38-44$ | $34-39$ | $30-34$ | $26-30$ | $22-25$ |
| 10th - 20th | Very Poor | $32-37$ | $29-32$ | $26-29$ | $22-24$ | $18-21$ |
| $<$ 10th | Deconditioned | $<29$ | $<29$ | $<24$ | $<21$ | $<17$ |


| Women: Age Groups \& VO2 Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentile | Classification | 20-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-59 | 60-69 |
| 95th + | Superior | 56 + | 46 + | $42+$ | 36 + | 29 + |
| 80th - 95th | Excellent | 46-52 | 37-42 | 34-39 | 29-32 | 25-27 |
| 60th - 80th | Good | 41-45 | 32-36 | 29-33 | 25-28 | 22-24 |
| 40th - 60th | Fair | 34-40 | 28-31 | 25-28 | 22-24 | 19-21 |
| 20th - 40th | Poor | 28-33 | 24-27 | 21-24 | 19-21 | 17-18 |
| 10th - 20th | Very Poor | 24-26 | 21-23 | 18-20 | 17-18 | 15-16 |
| < 10th | Deconditioned | <22 | < 19 | < 17 | < 16 | < 14 |

## How did I compare to others?

Your VO2 max results are classified in the Fair category and an estimated 50th percentile. Your VO2 max is compared with normative values provided by The American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) based on thousands of other participants in the same age and sex as yourself.

While comparing yourself to normative data may be helpful to estimate your fitness ranking, it is important to remember that genetic influences govern a portion of your VO2 max capacity. Similarly, it is also best to compete against yourself and identify stategies that are personalized to your improvements regardless of where you rank.
"Comparison is the thief of joy" - Theodore Roosevelt
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## Results: FTP \& Power Response

| Heart Rate and Ventilatory Response to Exercise |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR rest: | bpm | HR max: 17 |  |
| Power \& HR at VT1 : | 180 watts | 137 bpm | 77\% \% max HR |
| Power \& HR at VT2 : | 260 watts | 158 bpm | 89\% \% max HR |
| Power Response |  |  |  |
| Est. Functional Thres | hold Power (FTP): | 249 Watts | 2.59 Watts/kg |
| Est. HR at FTP: | 154 bpm | 87\% max HR | 75\% VO2 max |


| FTP Norms (Watt/kg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| World Class | Excellent (Cat 1) |  | Good (Cat 3) | Moderate (Cat 4) |  | Novice (Cat 5) |  |  |  |
| Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women | Men | Women |
| $6.4-5.15$ | $5.69-4.54$ | $5.15-4.18$ | $4.54-3.64$ | $4.18-3.64$ | $3.64-3.14$ | $3.63-2.93$ | $3.14-2.49$ | $2.93-2.4$ | $2.49-1.99$ |

FTP (Functional Threshold Power) is a critical metric in cycling that measures a rider's maximum sustainable power output for one hour. This measurement is used to set training zones and track progress over time, allowing cyclists to monitory and improve their overall performance. FTP is measured both in absolute values (watts) as well as relative values (watts/kg) which compares a cyclist's power output to their weight. The higher a cyclist's watts/kg ratio, the faster they will be able to ride.

FTP is best measured in a time-trial scenario, often requiring a cyclist to ride as hard as possible for 60 minutes. However, less-intensive tests can be used to estimate FTP, such as riding a 20 -minute time trial as fast as possible and multiplying the average power during this 20 -minute period by $95 \%$ (0.95). A third option is to perform a ramp test and multiplying the highest 60 -second power output by $75 \%(0.75)$. Your estimated FTP is calculated from the VO2-max ramp test protocol. This is only an estimate, however, as a proper ramp test protocol uses specific pre-determined power outputs per stage.

The chart below shows your substrate utilization contribution. FTP can be estimated by identifying the point where most of your energy is derived from carbohydrates compared to fats (usually around 80:20 ratio) as this is indicative of a sustainable yet fatigue-inducing output.
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## Results: HR Response (cont.)

The above chart shows your HR plotted against your VO2 during the test. As you can see, HR and VO2 have a near-linear relationship. This is useful to know for training intensities, knowing that, for example, $65 \%$ of your HR max equates to $55 \%$ VO2 max (example only - not a true conversion). As such, it's easier to train based off of HR zones than VO2 zones, since most people have access to a heart rate monitor. See the TRAINING page for a detailed breakdown of your heart rate based training zones.

Factors that can affect HR Response:
> Stimulants/Caffiene - increases resting HR \& possibly submaximal HR
$>$ Heat $\&$ Humidity - increases submaximal $\&$ maximal HR
$>$ Fatigue/Overtraining - decreases resting HR; blunts submaximal \& maximal HR
$>$ Medication - significant reduction/blunt in active $\mathbb{\&}$ submaximal HR
> Illness/Infection - significant increase in resting HR
One of the most common indications of overtraining is a significant reduction your ability to hit HRmax or within $95 \%$ of HRmax. This can limit your ability to perform at maximal output and indicates that a period of rest or very low intensity (recovery days) is recommended.

## Results: Ventilatory Response

VE/VO2 ratio represents the relationship between ventilation (VE) and oxygen consumption (VO2). This ratio can serve as an indirect marker of the efficiency of breathing during exercise. At lower exercise intensities, the VE/VO2 ratio tends to remain relatively low, indicating efficient oxygen uptake by the body. However, as exercise intensity increases beyond VT1, the VE/VO2 ratio typically rises due to the increased demand for oxygen and subsequent increase in ventilation.

Ventilatory Threshold 1 (VT1) is a physiological marker during exercise that indicates the point where there's a significant increase in ventilation (breathing rate) in response to the body's increased demand for oxygen. VT1 is characterized by a noticeable rise in carbon dioxide output, an increase in blood lactate levels, and a deviation from the linear relationship between ventilation (VE) and oxygen consumption (VO2) established from a "baseline".
$\mathrm{VE} / \mathrm{VCO} 2$, ratio reflects how much ventilation is required to eliminate a given amount of carbon dioxide produced by the body. During exercise, as metabolic demands increase, there's a proportional increase in VCO2 due to increased metabolism. The VE/VCO2 ratio helps to quantify the efficiency of the respiratory system in removing this additional carbon dioxide.

Ventilatory Threshold 2 (VT2) represents an increased respiration of CO2 resulting from metabolization of carbohydrates (byproducts of carbohydrates result in carbon molecules) and a substantial increase in ventilation to rapidly expel carbon dioxide. This is a critical marker in exercise where the body transitions to higher-intensity outputs. Training at this threshold may improve performance and the body's ability to maintain higher levels of blood-lactate concentration before critical acid-base imbalances occur.
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## Results: Training Zones

| Based on Ventilatory Threshold \& FTP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone | HR (minimum) | HR (maximum) | Purpose/Outcome |
| 1 | Watts to | 131 bpm Watts | Active Recovery |
| 2 | 137 bpm to Watts to | 147 bpm Watts | Aerobic Threshold; Steady-state |
| 3 | 148 bpm to Watts to | 157 bpm Watts | Tempo |
| 4 | 158 bpm to Watts to | 168 bpm Watts | Lactate Threshold; Interval |
| 5a | 169 bpm to Watts to | 175 bpm Watts | Aerobic Capacity |
| 5b | 176 bpm to Watts to | 178 bpm + Watts | Anaerobic Capacity; Vlamax |
| 5c | 179 bpm to Watts to | bpm + <br> Watts | Neuromuscular Power |


| Training Zones Explained |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone | Description |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | This is useful for active recovery as it brings significant <br> bloodflow to muscles without causing excessive metabolic <br> stress. | 6 hours + |  |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | This zone strengthens Type 1 fibers, increases mitochondrial <br> and capilary density, and improves oxidation of fat as fuel. | $2-3$ hours |  |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | Falling between moderate and hard intensity, this zone will <br> improve your Zone 2 speed by challenging aerobic endurance. | $30-90$ minutes |  |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | This zone improves your lactate tolerance and will enable <br> longer durations of faster pacing before the crash and bum. | $5-30$ minutes |  |
| 5a | Use this zone to push into vigorous intensity and improve <br> maximum aerobic capacity (vo2 max). | $1-5$ minutes |  |
| 5b | Similar to Zone 6, this high intensity zone will improve <br> anaerobic metabolism and also help prolonged sustained near- <br> max efforts. | $30-60$ seconds |  |
| 5c | This supramaximal Zone is designed to develop explosive <br> power by facilitating Type 2 fibers and new neural networks. | $1-30$ seconds |  |
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## Training: Applied Training Priciples

Two of the primary training principles that govern improvement in fitness are the S.A.I.D. Principle and the Overload Principle.

Specific Adaptations to Imposed Demands (SAID)
The SAID Principle states that our physiology will only adapt in response to the stimuli that we encounter. Therefore, the improved physical fitness will (generally) only be a result of the physiological pathways that are challenged during training, meaning that performing long durations of light intensity will not improve your ability to perform short durations of vigorous intensity. Adaptations are secific to the demands placed on the body during training. This is why sprinters are reallygood at running short distances very quickly, but would otherwise be no better than the average person at running a marathon.

However, there is a degree of translational adaptation that can be observed with cardiovascular training. For example, training in Zone 2 will build a stronger base and can also improve VO2 max as a secondary outcome. Identifying your top training priority, and then applying these pricinciples to focus on that specific type of training will lead you to results faster and more efficiently.

## Overload Principle

The Overload Principle refers to the theory that training intensities must surpass a threshold that elicits a response to improve the (afforementioned) demands. For example, to improve your 1-mile pace, you must systematically train at speeds faster than your current 1-mile pace. Similarly, if you want to improve your lactate threshold, you need to be training at or slightly beyond that threshold. Failing to properly induce Overload will result in plateaus, and eventually, a decrease in physical fitness ("use it or lose it").

Lastly, one of the most underrated components of improving physical fitness is rest. Believe it or not, resting is where fitness improvements are made. This is the time where the body can fully repair and replenish various nutrients, hormones, proteins, and other mechanisms that are placed under stress during training. Failing to get adequate rest, both intraworkout and just overall recovery will likely blunt your capacity to imrpove your fitness.

## UCsF Health
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## Training: Improving Fitness

## Building a strong base for long-duration conditioning: Zone 2

This zone should be the bulk of your training as they build an effective cardiovascular foundation. Training in Zone 2 enables you to average a higher power output at a lower metabolic cost as a result of improved strength and proliferation in slow twitch, oxidative (type 1) muscle fibers that contain high concentrations of mitochondria and blood capilaries. These cells allow for greater gas exchange ( O 2 and CO 2 ) within the muscle during cellular respiration as well as better utilization of fats as a source of energy. Unless you are specifically training for an upcomming race or competition, this should be approximately $80 \%$ of your training volume. Note: the following training template is an example of progression and may not provide enough training stimulus to highly developed cyclists.

| Week | Day | Workout | Week | Day | Workout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 45 mins Zone 2 | 4 | 1 | 90 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 2 | rest |  | 2 | rest |
|  | 3 | 60 mins Zone 2 |  | 3 | 105 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 4 | rest |  | 4 | rest |
|  | 5 | 60 mins Zone 2 |  | 5 | 105 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 6 | 30-45 min Zone 2* |  | 6 | $45-60 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone $2^{* *}$ |
|  | 7 | rest |  | 7 | rest |
| 2 | 1 | 60 mins Zone 2 | 5 | 1 | 105 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 2 | rest |  | 2 | rest |
|  | 3 | 75 mins Zone 2 |  | 3 | 120 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 4 | rest |  | 4 | rest |
|  | 5 | 75 mins Zone 2 \& 3 |  | 5 | 120 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 6 | $30-45 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone ${ }^{* *}$ |  | 6 | $45-60 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone $2^{*}$ |
|  | 7 | rest |  | 7 | rest |
| 3 | 1 | 75 mins Zone 2 | 6 | 1 | 120 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 2 | rest |  | 2 | rest |
|  | 3 | 90 mins Zone 2 |  | 3 | 150 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 4 | rest |  | 4 | rest |
|  | 5 | $75 \mathrm{mins} \quad$ Zone 2 \& 3 |  | 5 | 150 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 6 | 30-45 min Zone 2* |  | 6 | $45-60 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone ${ }^{* *}$ |
|  | 7 | rest |  | 7 | rest |

$\square$
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## Training: Getting Faster

## Building a stronger race-pace \& improving FTP

The best way to improve your FTP is a combination of maintaining your base while also adding intervals and tempo rides to improve strength and speed. Intervals refer to short periods of high intensity (Zone $4 \& 5$ ) with extended rest periods, while tempo refers to long-ish durations ( $20-40$ minutes) at a challenging intensity (Zone $3 \&$ Zone 4). Hills and sprints are an excellent method to increase strength and improve lactate tolerance, while "maximum distance in 20 minutes" efforts are great at improving speed and prolonging blood-lactate accumulation.

| Week | Day | Workout | Week | Day | Workout |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 45 mins Zone 3 | 4 | 1 | 90 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 2 | rest |  | 2 | rest |
|  | 3 | $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone $4^{* * *}$ |  | 3 | $3 \times 18 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone 4*** |
|  | 4 | rest |  | 4 | rest |
|  | 5 | 60 mins Zone 2 |  | 5 | 105 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 6 | 30-45 min Zone $2^{*}$ |  | 6 | 45-60 min Zone $2^{* *}$ |
|  | 7 | rest |  | 7 | rest |
| 2 | 1 | 60 mins Zone 2 | 5 | 1 | 120 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 2 | rest |  | 2 | rest |
|  | 3 | $3 \times 12 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone 4*** |  | 3 | $2 \times 20 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone $4^{* * *}$ |
|  | 4 | rest |  | 4 | rest |
|  | 5 | $75 \mathrm{mins} \quad$ Zone 2 \& 3 |  | 5 | 135 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 6 | 30-45 min Zone 2* |  | 6 | 45-60 min Zone 2* |
|  | 7 | rest |  | 7 | rest |
| 3 | 1 | 75 mins Zone 2 | 6 | 1 | 120 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 2 | rest |  | 2 | rest |
|  | 3 | $3 \times 15 \mathrm{~min}$ Zone 4*** |  | 3 | $2 \times 25$ min Zone $4^{* * *}$ |
|  | 4 | rest |  | 4 | rest |
|  | 5 | $75-90$ mins Zone 2 |  | 5 | 150 mins Zone 2 |
|  | 6 | 30-45 min Zone 2* |  | 6 | 45-60 min Zone 2** |
|  | 7 | rest |  | 7 | rest |


| $*=$ High Cadence | $* *=$ Hill Repeats | $* * *=$ Zone 4 Intervals (1:2 ratio Z4:Z2) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
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## Training: Increasing Maximum Capcacity

## Improving your VO2 max

Increasing your VO2 max will occur naturally as a secondary outcome of all other cardiovascular exercise. However, using high intensity intervals (HIIT) workouts can improve VO2 max faster due to the specificity principle. See below for a sample HIIT workout that will improve VO2 max over 8 weeks. Use the HIIT workout structure on the marked HIIT training days. Each training interval should be the hardest intensity you can maintain for the allotted time.

| Week | Warm Up <br> (Z1 or Z2) | Interval <br> Duration | Recovery <br> Duration | Repeat | Cooldown <br> (Z1 or Z2) | Total Workout <br> Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | 10 min | 2 min | 4 min | 2 times | 5 min | 27 min. |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | 10 min | 2 min | 4 min | 3 times | 5 min | 33 min. |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 10 min | 2 min | 4 min | 4 times | 5 min | 39 min. |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 10 min | 3 min | 4 min | 2 times | 5 min | 29 min. |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 10 min | 3 min | 4 min | 3 times | 5 min | 36 min. |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 10 min | 3 min | 4 min | 4 times | 5 min | 43 min. |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 10 min | 4 min | 5 min | 3 times | 5 min | 42 min. |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 10 min | 4 min | 5 min | 4 times | 5 min | 49 min. |


| Week | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday | Sunday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rest | 30 mins <br> Zone 2 | 27 mins HIIT | 45 mins Zone 2 | Rest | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2 \times 10 \mathrm{~min} \\ \text { Zone } 4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 45 mins <br> Zone 2 |
| 2 | Rest | 40 mins <br> Zone 2 | 33 mins HIIT | 50 mins Zone 2 | Rest | 20 mins Zone 4 | 45 mins Zone 2 |
| 3 | Rest | 60 mins <br> Zone 2 | 39 mins HIIT | 60 mins Zone 2 | Rest | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} 2 \times 20 \mathrm{~min} \\ \text { Zone } 4 \end{gathered}\right.$ | 45 mins Zone 2 |
| 4 | Rest | 75 mins <br> Zone 2 | 29 mins <br> HIIT | 90 mins <br> Zone 2 | Rest | 45 mins <br> Zone 4 | 60 mins Zone 2 |
| 5 | Rest | 60 mins <br> Zone 2 | 36 mins HIIT | 75 mins <br> Zone 2 | Rest | 60 mins Zone 4 | 45 mins Zone 2 |
| 6 | Rest | 75 mins <br> Zone 2 | 43 mins HIIT | 90 mins <br> Zone 2 | Rest | 60 mins <br> Zone 4 | 45 mins <br> Zone 2 |
| 7 | Rest | 90 mins <br> Zone 2 | 42 mins HIIT | 60 mins Zone 2 | Rest | 60 mins Zone 4 | 60 mins Zone 2 |
| 8 | Rest | 75 mins <br> Zone 2 | 49 mins HIIT | 75 mins Zone 2 | Rest | 60 mins <br> Zone 4 | 75+ mins <br> Zone 2 |
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## Reference: RPE Scale

| RPE Scale |  | Zone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scale | Description | 1 |
| 1 | Minimal | 1 |
| 2 | Very Easy | $1 / 2$ |
| 3 | Moderate | $2 / 3$ |
| 4 | Challenging | $3 / 4$ |
| 6 | Difficult | 4 |
| 7 | Hard | $4 / 5$ |
| 8 | Very Hard | 5 |
| 9 | Extremely Hard | 5 |
| 10 | Maximal Effort | 2 |

You can also use the BORG (1-10) RPE scale to measure the intensity of exercise if you do not have access to a heart rate monitor. Additionally, other physiological varilables can affect heart rate response to exercise on a day-to-day basis as a result of stress, fatigue, exhaustion, illness, and caffiene ingestion. It is important to note that the training zones are not a perfect parallel to HR-based training zones, though they offer a close comparison if necessary.
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Notes

If you have any questions regarding your results, or would like to discuss anything further, please do not hesitate to reach out!
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Per Rep Isometric Trq vs. Time - Knee Extension/Flexion

Name:
Birth date:
Height:
Weight:
Gender:
Diagnosis:
Surgery:

ID:
Involved Side:
Preferred Side:
Doctor:
Tester: Brooke Schultz Right/Left:


Right Side Curves
Left Side Curves
Isometric Ecc/Con
Angle 70 Degrees 5 Seconds 3 Reps

|  | Right Flexors (Con) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Rep | 1 | 2 |
| Peak Torque | 100 | 101 |
| Average Torque | 89 | 87 |
| Peak Torque Slope | 79 | 131 |
| Time to Half Peak Torque | 0.13 | 0.04 |
| Time to Peak Torque | 1.25 | 0.76 |
|  | Left Flexors (Con) | 85 |
| Rep | 1 | 67 |
| Peak Torque | 103 | 2 |
| Average Torque | 90 | 93 |
| Peak Torque Slope | 66 | 79 |
| Time to Half Peak Torque | 0.06 | 109 |
| Time to Peak Torque | 1.54 | 0.12 |

Name:

## Birth date:

Height:
Weight:
Gender:

## Diagnosis:

## Surgery:

Isometric Con/Ecc
Angle 70 Degrees 5 Seconds 3 Reps

|  | Right Extensors (Con) |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Rep | 1 | 2 |
| Peak Torque | 146 | 139 |
| Average Torque | 124 | 106 |
| Peak Torque Slope | 193 | 202 |
| Time to Half Peak Torque | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Time to Peak Torque | 0.75 | 0.68 |
|  |  | 144 |
| Rep | Left Extensors (Con) | 125 |
| Peak Torque | 1 | 244 |
| Average Torque | 148 | 0.10 |
| Peak Torque Slope | 123 | 0.58 |
| Time to Half Peak Torque | 176 | 141 |
| Time to Peak Torque | 0.13 | 352 |

